WETLANDS DELINEATION REPORT

Bowerman Power Renewable Natural Gas Plant Frank R. Bowerman Landfill Orange County, CA

August 2023

Prepared by



5383 Hollister Ave, Suite 130 Santa Barbara, CA 93111

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On behalf of Bowerman Power LFG, LLC ("Bowerman Power") (Bowerman Power, Project Proponent), Tetra Tech has prepared this Wetlands Delineation Report for the proposed Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) Plant planned at the Frank R. Bowerman Landfill (Bowerman Landfill) in Orange County, California (Project). This Report describes the literature review, survey methodology, and results of the wetlands delineation conducted for the Project. This Project is being conducted under a partnership agreement between Bowerman Power and OC Waste & Recycling (OCWR) to process the landfill gas produced by the Bowerman Landfill and deliver it to Southern California Gas Company (SoCal Gas).

A wetlands delineation was performed to assess potential jurisdictional waters and wetland features within the Project survey area. Three features under U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) jurisdiction were identified in the survey area. Four areas under California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) jurisdiction were also identified in the survey area. Portions of each feature overlap with the proposed Project site (i.e., RNG Plant footprint). Avoidance or minimization of impacts to areas under the jurisdiction of the USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW is recommended. Coordination with these agencies is recommended to determine if the previously obtained permits still apply or if a modification or new permits are required, including a Section 404 permit from the USACE, a Water Quality Certification from the RWQCB, and a Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement from the CDFW (County of Orange Integrated Waste Management Department 2006). The previously permitted or new jurisdictional features that occur in the survey area are as follows:

- Feature 1 previously permitted from USACE and RWQCB, CDFW area is new.
- Feature 2 not permitted.
- Feature 3 not permitted.
- Feature 4 previously permitted from USACE and RWQCB, CDFW area is new.

This Report is subject to verification by the USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW.

Table of Contents

EXEC	;υτιν	E SUMM	/ARY	1			
1.0	INTR	RODUCT	「ION	1-1			
	1.1	Projec	t Location	1-1			
	1.2	Enviro	nmental Setting	1-1			
2.0	REG	ULATO	RY OVERVIEW AND DEFINITIONS	2-1			
	2.1	U.S. Ar	rmy Corps of Engineers	2-1			
		2.1.1	Section 404 Jurisdictional Wetlands	2-1			
		2.1.2	Section 404 Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S	2-3			
		2.1.3	Areas Exempt from Section 404 Jurisdiction	2-5			
	2.2	Regior	nal Water Quality Control Board	2-5			
		2.2.1	Waters of the State	2-6			
		2.2.2	Areas Exempt from State Jurisdiction	2-7			
	2.3	Califor	rnia Department of Fish and Wildlife	2-7			
	2.4	Summ	nary of Regulations and Jurisdiction Definitions	2-8			
3.0	MET	ETHODS					
	3.1	Literat	ture Review	3-1			
	3.2	Jurisdictional Waters and Wetlands Delineation3					
4.0	RES	ULTS		4-1			
	4.1	Literat	ture Review	4-1			
	4.2	Jurisd	ictional Delineation	4-1			
		4.2.1	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	4-5			
		4.2.2	Regional Water Quality Control Board	4-6			
		4.2.3	California Department of Fish and Wildlife	4-6			
5.0	CON	CLUSIO)N	5-1			
6.0	REFE	ERENCE	S	6-1			

List of Tables

Table 1. USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW Jurisdiction	.2-8
Table 2. Jurisdictional Delineation Results	.4-4
Table 3. Jurisdictional Areas	.4-5

List of Figures

Figure 1. Regional Location	1-2
Figure 2. Project Location	1-3
Figure 3. USGS Topographic Map	1-4
Figure 4. Wetland Delineation Results	4-3

List of Appendices

APPENDIX A: Wetland Determination Data Forms APPENDIX B: Photographs

Acronyms and Abbreviations

Bowerman Landfill	Frank R. Bowerman Landfill
Bowerman Power	Bowerman Power LFG, LLC
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FAC	Facultative
FACU	Facultative Upland
FACW	Facultative Wetland
LFGTE	Landfill Gas to Energy
LSAA	Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
OBL	Obligate Wetland
OCWR	OC Waste & Recycling
ОНШМ	ordinary high water mark
Porter-Cologne Act	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act of 1969
Procedures	State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of the State
RNG	Renewable Natural Gas
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SoCal Gas	Southern California Gas Company
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
UPL	Upland
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA NRCS	U.S. Department of Agriculture National Resources Conservation Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WDRs	waste discharge requirements

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Tetra Tech has prepared this Wetlands Delineation Report (Report) for the proposed Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) Plant planned at the Frank R. Bowerman Landfill (Bowerman Landfill) in Orange County, California (Project). This Project is being conducted under a partnership agreement between Bowerman Power LFG, LLC (Bowerman Power) and OC Waste & Recycling (OCWR) to process the landfill gas produced by the Bowerman Landfill and deliver it to Southern California Gas Company (SoCal Gas).

The purpose of this Wetlands Delineation Report is to:

- Summarize the major applicable federal and state laws that apply to protecting jurisdictional wetlands, waters, and water quality.
- Document the methods and results of the wetlands delineation conducted for the Project.
- Identify jurisdictional areas under the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) criteria.

1.1 Project Location

The proposed Project is located at Bowerman Landfill in Orange County, California and consists of a proposed RNG Plant footprint (i.e., Project site) and proposed pipeline route (Figure 1). The Project site is generally bound by Bee Canyon Access Road to the north and northeast, the existing Landfill Gas to Energy (LFGTE) plant and flare station to the west, and open space and roads to the south (Figure 2). The proposed pipeline route connecting the proposed RNG Plant to the SoCal Gas interconnection goes north and west along Bee Canyon Access Road until the intersection of Jeffrey Road and Portola Parkway (Figure 1). The site is located within the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) *El Toro* 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map (Figure 3). Surrounding land uses consist of other areas of the Bowerman Landfill, open space, agricultural use, residential uses, and highways and roads.

The survey area for the wetlands delineation is shown in the figures, which approximates the Project Lease Boundary. The Project site consists of the proposed RNG footprint. The pipeline route was not surveyed for wetlands since construction would only occur within the existing roadway.

1.2 Environmental Setting

One unnamed ephemeral/intermittent stream runs through the survey area and ultimately leads offsite into Bee Canyon Wash, San Diego Creek, and then the Pacific Ocean (Figures 1 to 3). The data shown in Figures 1 to 3 is based on USGS blue-line streams, which are defined as perennial or ephemeral flowing waters. As described in the results section below, the waters that occur within the survey area were investigated during Tetra Tech surveys. A majority of the survey area is very steep hillside terrain and elevation ranges from approximately 690 to 800 feet above sea level. The survey area is undeveloped and vegetation throughout the survey area is a mixture of sagebrush scrub and coast live oak. Rainfall in 2023 has been higher than normal. From July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023, rainfall for the Irvine area was estimated to be about 163 percent of normal (Golden Gate Weather Services 2023).







Not for Construction

2.0 **REGULATORY OVERVIEW AND DEFINITIONS**

2.1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act provides the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the USACE regulatory and permitting authority over activities that result in the discharge of dredged or fill material into "navigable Waters of the U.S." Section 502(7) of the Clean Water Act defines navigable waters as "Waters of the U.S., including territorial seas." Section 328 of Chapter 33 in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) defines the term Waters of the U.S. as it applies to the jurisdictional limits of the authority of the USACE under the Clean Water Act. A summary of this definition of Waters of the U.S. in 33 CFR Section 328.3 includes (1) waters which are: (i) currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in commerce, including all waters which are subject to tides; (ii) the territorial seas; or (iii) interstate waters, including interstate wetlands; (2) impoundments of waters; (3) tributaries of waters; (4) wetlands adjacent to waters; (5) intrastate lakes and ponds, streams, or wetlands (USACE and EPA 2023). Therefore, for the purpose of determining USACE jurisdiction under the Clean Water Act, "navigable waters" as defined in the Clean Water Act are the same as Waters of the U.S. defined in the CFR above.

The limits of USACE jurisdiction under Section 404 as defined in 33 CFR Section 328.4 are as follows: (a) Territorial seas: three nautical miles in a seaward direction from the baseline; (b) Tidal waters of the U.S.: high tide line or to the limit of adjacent non-tidal waters; (c) Non-tidal waters of the U.S.: ordinary high water mark (OHWM) or to the limit of adjacent wetlands, or when the water of the U.S. consists only of wetlands to the limit of the wetland.

2.1.1 Section 404 Jurisdictional Wetlands

The USACE has defined the term "wetlands" as follows (33 CFR 328.3):

Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

The three parameters that are used to determine the presence of wetlands are: (1) hydrophytic vegetation, (2) wetland hydrology, and (3) hydric soils. Evidence of a minimum of one positive wetland indicator from each of the three parameters must be found in order to make a positive wetland delineation (USACE 2008).

Wetland areas which do not have a surface or groundwater connection to, and are not adjacent to, navigable Waters of the U.S. may be considered isolated and not subject to USACE jurisdiction.

Vegetation

Hydrophytic vegetation is defined as areas where the frequency and duration of inundation or soil saturation exerts a controlling influence on the plant species present. Plant species are assigned wetland indicator status according to the probability of their occurrence in a wetland. More than fifty percent of the dominant plant species must have a wetland indicator status to meet the hydrophytic vegetation criterion. The USACE has published the *Arid West 2020 Regional Wetland Plant List*

(USACE 2020) which separates vascular plants into the following categories based on plant species frequency of occurrence in wetlands:

- Obligate Wetland (OBL). Occur almost always (estimated probability greater than 99 percent) under natural conditions in wetlands.
- Facultative Wetland (FACW). Usually occur in wetlands (estimated probability 67-99 percent), but occasionally found in non-wetlands.
- Facultative (FAC). Equally likely to occur in wetlands or non-wetlands (estimated probability 34–66 percent).
- Facultative Upland (FACU). Usually occur in non-wetlands (estimated probability 67–99 percent), but occasionally found in wetlands (estimated probability 1–33 percent).
- Obligate Upland (UPL). Occur almost always (estimated probability greater than 99 percent) under natural conditions in non-wetlands.

The USACE considers OBL, FACW, and FAC species to be indicators of a wetland. An area is considered to have hydrophytic vegetation when greater than 50 percent of the dominant species in each vegetative stratum (tree, shrub, and herb) fall within these categories. Any species not listed in the USACE wetland plant list is assumed to be an upland species, almost never occurring in wetlands.

A secondary hydrophytic vegetation identifier is a prevalence index of 3.0 or less. The prevalence index is a weighted-average wetland indicator status of all plant species at the sample point, where each indicator status category is given a numeric code (OBL=1, FACW=2, FAC=3, FACU=4, and UPL=5) and weighting is by abundance (percent cover). This method is a more comprehensive analysis of the hydrophytic status of the community than one based on just a few dominant species. The prevalence index is used as a supplement to determine whether hydrophytic vegetation is present on sites where indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology are present, but the vegetation initially fails the dominance test.

Hydrology

Wetland hydrology is inundation or soil saturation with a frequency and duration long enough to cause the development of hydric soils and vegetation communities dominated by hydrophytic vegetation. If direct observation of wetland hydrology is not possible (as in seasonal wetlands), or records of wetland hydrology are not available (such as stream gauges), assessment of wetland hydrology is frequently supported by indicators, such as watermarks, surface soil cracks, sediment deposits, or a high water table.

OHWM is a line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding area.

Soils

Hydric soils are saturated or inundated for a sufficient duration during the growing season to develop anaerobic or reducing conditions that favor the growth and regeneration of hydrophytic vegetation.

Field indicators of wetland soils include sulfidic odor, observations of ponding, inundation or saturation, dark (low chroma) soil colors, bright mottles (concentrations of oxidized minerals such as iron), or gleying (reduced conditions indicated by a blue- or green-grey color). Additional supporting information includes documentation of soil as hydric or reference to wet conditions in the local soil survey, both of which must be verified in the field.

Field indicators for hydric soils are particularly difficult to observe in sandy soils, which are often recently deposited soils of floodplains. These soils usually lack sufficient fines (clay and silt) and organic material to allow use of color as a reliable indicator of hydric soil. Hydric soil indicators in sandy soils include accumulations of organic matter and organic pan (soil layer in which organic matter and aluminum accumulate where the top of the water table most often occurs).

2.1.2 Section 404 Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.

In April 2020, the USACE and EPA published the Navigable Waters Protection Rule in the Federal Register, which became effective on June 22, 2020. Following a federal district court decision on August 30, 2021, the USACE and EPA halted implementation of the Navigable Waters Protection Rule and began interpreting Waters of the U.S. consistent with the "pre-2015" regulatory regime (USACE and EPA 2021). On December 7, 2021, the USACE and EPA announced a Proposed Rule, published in the Federal Register, to revise the definition of Waters of the U.S. to formalize the Supreme Court's decisions and put back into place the "pre-2015" definition of Waters of the U.S. (USACE and EPA 2021). The final Revised Definition of Waters of the United States rule was published in the Federal Register on January 18, 2023, and took effect on March 20, 2023 (USACE and EPA 2023). Although the Supreme Court reached a decision on May 25, 2023 (Sacket vs EPA), which found that only wetlands that are "indistinguishable" from adjacent jurisdictional waterbodies can be covered by the law, the implications of this decision on enforcement of the final rule are currently not determined. Therefore, the analysis in this Report is based on the final rule from March 2023. Under the final rule (33 CFR 328.3):

(a) Waters of the United States means:

- (1) Waters which are:
 - (i) Currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide;
 - (ii) The territorial seas; or
 - (iii) Interstate waters, including interstate wetlands;
- (2) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the United States under this definition, other than impoundments of waters identified under paragraph (a)(5) of this section;
- (3) Tributaries of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2) of this section:
 - (i) That are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water; or

- (ii) That either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section;
- (4) Wetlands adjacent to the following waters:
 - (i) (i) Waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section; or
 - (ii) Relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(3)(i) of this section and with a continuous surface connection to those waters; or
 - (iii) Waters identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (3) of this section when the wetlands either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section;
- (5) Intrastate lakes and ponds, streams, or wetlands not identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through(4) of this section:
 - (i) That are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water with a continuous surface connection to the waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(3)(i) of this section; or
 - (ii) That either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(c)(6) **Significantly affect** means a material influence on the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section. To determine whether waters, either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, have a material influence on the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, the functions identified in paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section will be assessed and the factors identified in paragraph (c)(6)(ii) of this section will be considered:

- (i) Functions to be assessed:
 - (A) Contribution of flow;
 - (B) Trapping, transformation, filtering, and transport of materials (including nutrients, sediment, and other pollutants);
 - (C) Retention and attenuation of floodwaters and runoff;
 - (D) Modulation of temperature in waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section; or
 - (E) Provision of habitat and food resources for aquatic species located in waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section;
- (ii) Factors to be considered:
 - (A) The distance from a water identified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section;
 - (B) Hydrologic factors, such as the frequency, duration, magnitude, timing, and rate of hydrologic connections, including shallow subsurface flow;

- (C) The size, density, or number of waters that have been determined to be similarly situated;
- (D) Landscape position and geomorphology; and
- (E) Climatological variables such as temperature, rainfall, and snowpack.

2.1.3 Areas Exempt from Section 404 Jurisdiction

Under the final Revised Definition of Waters of the United States rule (33 CFR 328.3):

(b) The following are not "waters of the United States" even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(2) through (5) of this section:

- (1) Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, designed to meet the requirements of the Clean Water Act;
- (2) Prior converted cropland designated by the Secretary of Agriculture. The exclusion would cease upon a change of use, which means that the area is no longer available for the production of agricultural commodities. Notwithstanding the determination of an area's status as prior converted cropland by any other Federal agency, for the purposes of the Clean Water Act, the final authority regarding Clean Water Act jurisdiction remains with EPA;
- (3) Ditches (including roadside ditches) excavated wholly in and draining only dry land and that do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water;
- (4) Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land if the irrigation ceased;
- (5) Artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing;
- (6) Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons;
- (7) Waterfilled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States; and
- (8) Swales and erosional features (e.g., gullies, small washes) characterized by low volume, infrequent, or short duration flow.

2.2 Regional Water Quality Control Board

The Dickey Water Pollution Act of 1949 and the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act of 1969 (Porter-Cologne Act) established the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and nine RWQCBs in California. The SWRCB and each RWQCB regulate activities in Waters of the State which include Waters of the U.S. "Waters of the State" are defined by the Porter-Cologne Act as "any surface water or groundwater, including saline waters, within the boundaries of the state." These may include intermittent or ephemeral waters as well as isolated waters. SWRCB/RWQCB jurisdiction extends to the OHWM for non-wetland Waters of the State, or for wetlands, to the limit of the wetland. The SWRCB/RWQCB regulates the "discharge of waste," including discharges of fill and dredged material, into Waters of the State. All parties proposing to discharge waste that could affect Waters of the State must file a report of waste discharge with the appropriate RWQCB. The RWQCB will then respond to the report of waste discharge by issuing waste discharge requirements (WDRs) in a public hearing, or by waiving WDRs (with or without conditions) for that proposed discharge.

Pursuant to Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, the SWRCB/RWQCB established the State Water Quality Certification Program. This program issues certifications for projects which propose to discharge fill or dredged material into Waters of the State. Water Quality Certification is necessary for all projects that require a USACE Section 404 permit, or fall under other federal jurisdiction, and have the potential to impact Waters of the State. A Water Quality Certification issued by the SWRCB/RWQCB certifies that project activities will not violate water quality standards individually or cumulatively over the term of the action. A Water Quality Certification must be consistent with the requirements of the Federal Clean Water Act, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the California Endangered Species Act, and the Porter-Cologne Act.

If a proposed project, or portion of a proposed project, does not require a federal permit but does involve dredge or fill activities that may result in a discharge to Waters of the State, the RWQCB has the option to regulate the dredge and fill activity under its state authority in the form of WDRs or Certification of WDRs. In these cases, a Water Quality Certification is not necessary under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act because federal jurisdiction does not apply.

The SWRCB has adopted the *State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of the State* (Procedures), which became effective on May 28, 2020 (SWRCB 2019). The Procedures consist of the following four components: (1) a statewide wetland definition; (2) a framework for determining if a feature that meets the wetland definition is a Water of the State; (3) wetland delineation procedures; and (4) supplemental procedures for application submittal, and the review and approval of Water Quality Certifications, WDRs, and waivers of WDRs for dredge or fill activities, including the *State Supplemental Dredge or Fill Guidelines* (Appendix A of the Procedures).

The Procedures define an area as a wetland as follows: an area is a wetland if, under normal circumstances, (1) the area has continuous or recurrent saturation of the upper substrate caused by groundwater, or shallow surface water, or both; (2) the duration of such saturation is sufficient to cause anaerobic conditions in the upper substrate; and (3) the area's vegetation is dominated by hydrophytes or the area lacks vegetation. This definition does not affect the meaning of Waters of the State as it pertains to SWRCB/RWQCB jurisdiction pursuant to the Porter-Cologne Act, nor does it modify the current authorities of the SWRCB/RWQCB to protect water quality. In accordance with the Procedures, the wetland delineation method outlined by the USACE has been utilized to map wetlands and Waters of the State, which extend to the limit of the wetland or to the OHWM for non-wetland waters. Jurisdiction has been evaluated in the regulatory context of the RWQCB, who may regulate intermittent or ephemeral waters as well as isolated waters.

2.2.1 Waters of the State

The SWRCB Procedures consider natural wetlands, wetlands created by modification of surface Waters of the State, and areas that meet the current or historic definitions of Waters of the U.S., to be

Waters of the State (SWRCB 2019). In addition, the Procedures considers artificial wetlands (i.e., wetlands that result from human activity) that meet specific criteria to be Waters of the State (SWRCB 2019). The Procedures incorporate the established wetland delineation procedures set forth by the USACE (USACE 2008), which were used to map wetlands and Waters of the State. However, contrary to the USACE wetland definition, the State's wetland definition also protects non-vegetated wetlands. In addition, Waters of the State may also include intermittent or ephemeral waters as well as isolated waters that are not under federal jurisdiction.

2.2.2 Areas Exempt from State Jurisdiction

Areas exempt from SWRCB/RWQCB jurisdiction include all artificial wetlands that are less than 1 acre in size and do not satisfy the following criteria: (1) created by modification of a surface Water of the State; (2) approved by an agency as compensatory mitigation for impacts to other Waters of the State, except where the approving agency explicitly identifies the mitigation as being of limited duration; (3) specifically identified in a water quality control plan as a wetland or other Water of the State; or (4) resulted from historic human activity, is not subject to ongoing operation and maintenance, and has become a relatively permanent part of the natural landscape.

In addition, artificial wetlands greater than or equal to one acre in size are exempt from SWRCB/RWQCB jurisdiction if the artificial wetland was constructed, and is currently used and maintained, primarily for one or more of the following purposes: (1) industrial or municipal wastewater treatment or disposal; (2) settling of sediment; (3) detention, retention, infiltration, or treatment of stormwater runoff and other pollutants or runoff subject to regulation under a municipal, construction, or industrial stormwater permitting program; (4) treatment of surface waters; (5) agricultural crop irrigation or stock watering; (6) fire suppression; (7) industrial processing or cooling; (8) active surface mining – even if the site is managed for interim wetlands functions and values; (9) log storage; (10) treatment, storage, or distribution of recycled water; (11) maximizing groundwater recharge (this does not include wetlands that have incidental groundwater recharge benefits); or (12) fields flooded for rice growing.

2.3 California Department of Fish and Wildlife

The CDFW is responsible for conserving, protecting, and managing California's fish, wildlife, and native plant resources. Streams and lakes, as habitat for fish, wildlife, and native plant species, are subject to jurisdiction by CDFW under Sections 1600-1616 of the California Fish and Game Code. Section 1602 requires any person, state or local governmental agency, or public utility to notify CDFW before beginning any activity that will do one or more of the following: (1) substantially obstruct or divert the natural flow of a river, stream, or lake; (2) substantially change or use any material from the bed, channel, or bank of a river, stream, or lake; or (3) deposit or dispose of debris, waste, or other material containing crumbled, flaked, or ground pavement where it can pass into a river, stream, or lake. These regulated activities require a Section 1602 Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement (LSAA) from CDFW. Removal of riparian vegetation also requires a LSAA.

The Fish and Game Code, Sections 1600-1616, regulates activities that would alter the flow, bed, banks, channel, or associated riparian areas of a river, stream, or lake. CDFW jurisdiction over lakes

and streams is to the top of bank, or edge of riparian vegetation as determined by edge of dripline, whichever is further (CDFW 1994).

2.4 Summary of Regulations and Jurisdiction Definitions

The jurisdiction of each agency (i.e., USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW) is summarized in Table 1 based on the information provided in the sections above. Key differences are highlighted in the table.

Agency (Regulation)	Jurisdiction Type	Jurisdiction Extent	Notes
USACE (Section 404 of Clean Water Act)	Wetlands, non-wetland Waters of the U.S.	Boundary of wetland, or OHWM for non-wetland Waters of the U.S.	The feature must be either relatively permanent or significantly affect specific Waters of the U.S. to be considered jurisdictional.
RWQCB (Section 401 of Clean Water Act)	Wetlands, non-wetland Waters of the State	Boundary of wetland, or OHWM for non-wetland Waters of the State	Jurisdiction includes ephemeral waters as well as isolated waters that may not be under USACE jurisdiction, significant affect is not required.
CDFW (Section 1600-1616 of Fish and Game Code)	River, stream, or lake	Top of bank or edge of riparian vegetation (whichever is further)	Requires bed, banks, and channel or riparian vegetation.

Table 1. USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW Jurisdiction

Notes:

CDFW: California Department of Fish and Wildlife OHWM: Ordinary high water mark RWQCB: Regional Water Quality Control Board USACE: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

3.0 METHODS

3.1 Literature Review

Prior to the field survey, a literature review was conducted to evaluate potential jurisdictional areas within the survey area. The USGS 7.5-Minute Topographic Map *El Toro* Quadrangle, aerial and historical imagery (Google Earth[®]), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) database (USFWS 2023), the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Resources Conservation Service (USDA NRCS) Web Soil Survey (USDA NRCS 2023), and previous site-specific studies were reviewed.

3.2 Jurisdictional Waters and Wetlands Delineation

A jurisdictional waters and wetlands delineation was performed within the survey area on June 19-20, 2023. The delineation was conducted at any potential jurisdictional wetlands, Waters of the U.S. and State, and areas potentially under CDFW jurisdiction. The procedures described in the *Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineering Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region* (USACE 2008) were used to identify wetlands and Waters of the U.S. in the survey area that are potentially subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The potential jurisdictional features were also examined for an OHWM. The USACE delineation methodology was also used to map potential Waters of the State that were evaluated for RWQCB jurisdiction. Areas of CDFW jurisdiction were determined by the presence of defined bed, banks, and channel, or presence of a lake or pool, and were measured to the top of bank or the edge of riparian vegetation.

Sample points were taken to determine areas of potential jurisdiction and were mapped in the field using a Global Positioning System receiver with sub-meter accuracy. Field indicators were examined, and wetland data reporting forms were used to record three parameters: hydrophytic vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils. Completed wetland determination data forms are provided in Appendix A. Photographs taken during the field survey are provided in Appendix B.

Plant species present and the presence or absence of hydrophytic vegetation was recorded. Hydrophytic vegetation was determined to be present if greater than 50 percent of the dominant species at the sample point were OBL, FACW, or FAC (USACE 2020). If the hydrophytic vegetation dominance test was not greater than 50 percent, then the prevalence index was conducted if both hydric soils and hydrology were met unless disturbed or problematic. If the prevalence index was less than or equal to 3.0 then the vegetation was considered hydrophytic. Soil pits were excavated to a depth of at least 14 inches when feasible to examine soils for evidence of hydric indicators or saturation at the sample points. Soil pit locations are synonymous with sample points. Hydric soils and wetland hydrology were determined based on the presence of one or more of the applicable indicators.

Sample points were collected in each potential jurisdictional feature and as needed at upland points to determine the extent of the feature. A sample point is considered to be within a USACE wetland if the area met all three wetland parameters. If one or more of these parameters was not met in a typical situation, the point was considered to not be within a USACE wetland. In atypical or problematic situations (i.e., when vegetation, soil, or hydrology indicators are absent due to recent human disturbance or natural causes), all three parameters are not required to be a USACE wetland.

4.0 **RESULTS**

4.1 Literature Review

The survey area contains one ephemeral/intermittent blue-line stream as shown in the USGS topographic map (Figure 3). Blue-line streams are shown as either broken or solid blue lines and are defined as flowing waters that are ephemeral (broken lines) or perennial (solid lines). Two NWI riverine features also occur in the survey area (USFWS 2023). Previous site-specific studies also found jurisdictional features within the survey area (LSA 2022; County of Orange Integrated Waste Management Department 2006). All potential features were assessed during the jurisdictional delineation.

Soils mapped by the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Resources Conservation Service at the sample locations are Calleguas clay loam (USDA NRCS 2023). This soil type has 50 to 75 percent slopes, is non-saline to very slightly saline, and is not considered hydric soil (USDA NRCS 2023). The presence of hydric soils was assessed during the jurisdictional delineation.

4.2 Jurisdictional Delineation

A total of four jurisdictional features were identified and mapped (Figure 4). These features were ephemeral soft- or hard-bottom (i.e., concrete) drainages. Areas of coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*) riparian vegetation was found around some of the features. A description of each feature is provided below. Saturated conditions and surface water were absent from the survey area besides one small portion of Feature 1, as described below. All features exhibited wetland hydrology but lacked hydric soils and hydrophytic vegetation. Results of the jurisdictional delineation conducted at these features are provided in Table 2.

Feature 1. This feature is a concrete channel that ranged in width from 2 to 40 feet. Banks were gradual to steep. In the southern portion, the wide banks of the concrete channel extended beyond the channel OHWM as shown in Figure 4. In addition, coast live oak riparian vegetation associated with this feature occurred adjacent to the channel in the northern portion. This feature was unvegetated but had portions with dense overhang of plant species that occur in sagebrush scrub and coast live oak habitats, such as coast live oak, mule fat (*Baccharis salicifolia*), California encelia (*Encelia californica*), California sagebrush (*Artemisia californica*), laurel sumac (*Malosma laurina*), and lemonade berry (*Rhus integrifolia*). Small areas of standing water were observed within this feature at a maximum of about 1 foot deep.

Feature 2. This feature is a concrete channel that transitions to soft-bottom in the central and western portions of the feature and was 3 feet wide. This feature drains water from the existing LFGTE plant to the concrete channel in the southern portion of the survey area. Banks were steep in the concrete channel and gradual in the soft-bottom area. Coast live oak riparian vegetation associated with this feature occurred adjacent to the soft-bottom channel in the central portion (Figure 4). The concrete channel was unvegetated and the soft-bottom area supported plant species that occur in sagebrush scrub and coast live oak habitats, such as coast live oak, California sagebrush, lemonade berry, and toyon (*Heteromeles arbutifolia*) as well as non-natives short podded mustard (*Hirschfeldia incana*), false brome (*Brachypodium distachyon*), and foxtail chess (*Bromus madritensis*). All areas of this feature were dry during the survey.

Feature 3. This feature is a concrete channel that was 3 feet wide. This feature continues outside the survey area to the west and likely drains water from the existing LFGTE plant to the concrete channel in the southern portion of the survey area. Banks were steep throughout. This feature was unvegetated but had overhang of sagebrush scrub plants, such as California sagebrush and non-native short podded mustard. All areas of this feature were dry during the survey.

Feature 4. This feature is a soft-bottom drainage that was 8 feet wide and transitions into a concrete channel that was 4 feet wide in the western portion of the feature (Figure 4). This feature drains water from the existing LFGTE plant to the concrete channel in the southern portion of the survey area. Banks were gradual in the soft-bottom area and steep in the concrete channel. Coast live oak riparian vegetation associated with this feature occurred throughout a majority of the feature and was dominated by coast live oak trees. All areas of this feature were dry during the survey.



Table 2. Jurisdictional Delineation Results

Feature Number	Sample Point	Hydrophytic Vegetation	Hydric Soil	Wetland Hydrology	USACE Wetlands / Waters of the U.S.	RWQCB Waters of the State	CDFW Jurisdiction	Notes
1*	UP1	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concrete channel that ranged in width from 2-40 feet. In some portions the concrete banks extended beyond the channel OHWM. Coast live oak riparian vegetation occurred in the northern portion.
2	UP-1	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concrete channel and soft-bottom drainage that was
	WL-1	-	-	Х				3 feet wide. Coast live oak riparian vegetation occurred in the central portion.
3*	UP-1	-	-	-	No	No	Yes	Concrete channel that was 3 feet wide.
4	UP-1	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Soft-bottom drainage (8 feet wide) and concrete
	WL-2	-	-	Х				channel (4 feet wide). Coast live oak riparian vegetation occurred throughout.

Note: *Concrete-lined channels were mapped but sample points were not collected since soil pits cannot be dug.

"X" = indicator met; "-" = indicator not met.

No features met all three USACE wetland parameters required to be a wetland. However, three features within the survey area (Features 1, 2, and 4) are jurisdictional to the USACE since these features ultimately converge and connect into Bee Canyon Wash, which connects into San Diego Creek and then the Pacific Ocean. These features were determined to be USACE Waters of the U.S. since they significantly affect traditional navigable waters. One feature (Feature 3) was determined to be exempt from USACE jurisdiction since it is a ditch that drains only dry land. All areas determined to be Waters of the U.S. are also RWQCB Waters of the State. In addition, areas of CDFW jurisdiction were found, which includes all four features and the surrounding riparian vegetation in some areas.

Table 3 provides total acres of jurisdictional areas within the Project site, which includes the proposed RNG Plant footprint. Results of the delineation in the context of the USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW are discussed in Sections 4.2.1 to 4.2.3.

Feature Number	USACE Wetlands / Waters of the U.S. (acres) within Project Site	RWQCB Waters of the State (acres) within Project Site	CDFW Jurisdiction (acres) within Project Site
1	0.12	0.12	0.36
2	0.01	0.01	0.11
3	0	0	0.001
4	0.05	0.05	0.37
Total	0.18	0.18	0.84

Table 3. Jurisdictional Areas

4.2.1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Section 404 Jurisdictional Wetlands

As shown in Table 2, no features met hydrophytic vegetation or hydric soil. Features 2 and 4 met wetland hydrology, which was in the form of water-stained leaves, drift deposits, and drainage patterns. Features 1 and 3 were concrete-lined and were unvegetated. Therefore, no features met all three wetland parameters required to be a USACE Wetland. In addition, no atypical or problematic situations were encountered. However, as discussed in the section below, three features (Features 1, 2, and 4) are jurisdictional to the USACE since they ultimately connect into and significantly affect traditional navigable waters, and Feature 3 is exempt.

Data on vegetation, hydrology, and soils collected at sample points for each feature during the jurisdictional delineation are provided on the USACE wetland determination data forms in Appendix A. All sample points are shown in Figure 4.

Section 404 Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.

Features 1, 2, and 4 are jurisdictional channels that ultimately lead into the Pacific Ocean. Features 2 and 4 connect into Feature 1 on-site, which leads off-site to the south into Bee Canyon Wash. Bee Canyon Wash then connects into San Diego Creek and the Pacific Ocean. Therefore, these three features are considered tributaries to traditional navigable waters. These features are ephemeral and likely receive water only in response to rainfall and are not considered relatively permanent waters. Relatively permanent waters either flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally, which is typically quantified as three months. However, in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, these waters may significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of traditional navigable waters since there is a hydrologic connection. These features may contribute flow; trap, transform, filter, or transport materials (e.g., nutrients, sediments, and other pollutants); and provide retention and attenuation of floodwaters and runoff into traditional navigable waters. These features may also provide habitat and food resources for aquatic species. Therefore, Features 1, 2, and 4 are Section 404 Waters of the U.S.

Areas Exempt from Section 404 Jurisdiction

Feature 3 was determined to be exempt from USACE Section 404 jurisdiction because it is considered a ditch excavated wholly in and draining only dry land, and does not carry a relatively permanent flow of water. Although Feature 2 is a similar concrete feature in the eastern portion, it connects through and drains a riparian area and is connected to other Waters of the U.S. as described above, and therefore is not exempt.

4.2.2 Regional Water Quality Control Board

Waters of the State

RWQCB Waters of the State include USACE Section 404 jurisdictional wetlands and Waters of the U.S. Therefore, Waters of the State were identified within the survey area at Features 1, 2, and 4 since these three features are considered Waters of the U.S. (Figure 4). Feature 3 is not a Waters of the U.S. or wetland and is therefore also not a Waters of the State.

Areas Exempt from State Jurisdiction

No features in the survey area were determined to be exempt from RWQCB jurisdiction.

4.2.3 California Department of Fish and Wildlife

Features 1 through 4 have defined bed, banks, and channel or are connected directly upstream or downstream to an area that does. In addition, coast live oak riparian vegetation was found surrounding potions of Features 1, 2, and 4 (Figure 4). The features as well as the adjacent riparian vegetation are under CDFW jurisdiction.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Three features under USACE and RWQCB jurisdiction were identified in the survey area, which includes Features 1, 2, and 4. These features and Feature 3 are under CDFW jurisdiction. Portions of each feature overlap with the proposed Project site. It is recommended that impacts are avoided to areas under the jurisdiction of the USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW. Coordination with these agencies is recommended to determine if the previously obtained permits still apply or if a modification or new permits are required, including a Section 404 permit from the USACE, a Water Quality Certification from the RWQCB, and a Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement from the CDFW (County of Orange Integrated Waste Management Department 2006). The previously permitted or new jurisdictional features that occur in the survey area are as follows:

- Feature 1 previously permitted from USACE and RWQCB, CDFW area is new.
- Feature 2 not permitted.
- Feature 3 not permitted.
- Feature 4 previously permitted from USACE and RWQCB, CDFW area is new.

This Report is subject to verification by the USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW.

6.0 **REFERENCES**

- CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 1994. *A Field Guide to Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreements*. Sections 1600-1616, California Fish and Game Code. Environmental Services Division.
- County of Orange Integrated Waste Management Department. 2006. *Final Environmental Impact Report No. 604 for the RELOOC Strategic Plan – Frank R. Bowerman Landfill Implementation*. August.
- Golden Gate Weather Services. 2023. 2022-2023 California Rainfall Season. <u>https://ggweather.com/</u> <u>seasonal_rain.htm</u>. Accessed July 2023.
- LSA. 2022. Jurisdictional Delineation Report. Frank R. Bowerman Landfill, Orange County, CA. April.
- SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 2019. State Wetland Definition and Procedures for Discharges of Dredged or Fill Material to Waters of the State. April 2.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2008. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region (Version 2.0), ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C.
 V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-08-28. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2020. Arid West 2020 Regional Wetland Plant List.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) and EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2021. *Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States*". Proposed Rule. December 7.
- USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) and EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2023. *Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States*". Final Rule. January 18.
- USDA NRCS (U.S. Department of Agriculture National Resources Conservation Service). 2023. Web Soil Survey. <u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm</u>. Accessed June 2023.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2023. National Wetlands Inventory. Wetlands Mapper. Website: <u>https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</u>. Accessed June 2023.

APPENDIX A: WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: Bowerman RNG Project	_ City/County:Ora	ange County	Sampling Date: 6/20/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bowerman RNG		State:CA	Sampling	g Point:UP-1
Investigator(s):Berg	Section, Townsl	hip, Range:T5 South, R8 W	est	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Upland hillslope	Local relief (cor	Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%):3		
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean California	3.715941177			
Soil Map Unit Name: Calleguas clay loam, 50 to 75 percent slop	pes, eroded	NWI class	ification:Nor	ne
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year?Yes 💽	No (If no, explain ir	Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significant	tly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances	" present?	Yes 💿 No 🔿
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, explain any ans	wers in Rem	arks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showin	g sampling po	oint locations, transect	ts, import	tant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No No				

Hydrophylic vegetation Present?					
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔘	No 💿	Is the Sampled Area		
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🔘	No 💿	within a Wetland?	Yes 🔿	No 💿
Remarks: Shared upland sample p	oint for all feat	ures.			

VEGETATION

Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
			Total Number of Dominant
			Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
			Percent of Dominant Species
r: %			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0 % (A/B)
25	Yes	Not Listed	Prevalence Index worksheet:
5	No	Not Listed	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
1	No	Not Listed	OBL species x 1 = 0
1	No	Not Listed	FACW species x 2 = 0
1	No	Not Listed	FAC species $x 3 = 0$
r: 33 %			FACU species x 4 = 0
			UPL species $138 \times 5 = 690$
45	Yes	Not Listed	Column Totals: 138 (A) 690 (B)
45	Yes	UPL	
15	No	Not Listed	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 5.00$
			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		-	Dominance Test is >50%
			Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
			- data in Remarks or on a separate sneet)
r: 105%		·	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
105 /0			1
			'Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
r: %			Hydrophytic Vocatation
r of Biotic (Crust	%	Present? Yes No (•
	Absolute % Cover 	Absolute % Cover Dominant Species? 25 Yes 5 No 1 No 15 No 15 No 15 No 105 %	Absolute % Cover Dominant Species? Indicator Status 25 Yes Not Listed 5 No Not Listed 1 No Not Listed 15 No Not Listed 105 %

SOIL

Profile Des	scription: (Describe	to the depth	needed to docu	ment the	indicator	or confirm	n the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth (inchos)	Matrix	0/	Redo	x Feature	s Typo1	1.002	Toxturo ³	Pomorko
				/0	_туре_			
0-1								Organic debris (sticks, grass)
1-15	<u>10YR 4/4</u>	100					Clay loam	
¹ Type: C=0	Concentration, D=Depl	letion, RM=F	Reduced Matrix.	² Locatior	n: PL=Pore	Lining, R	C=Root Channel	 , M=Matrix.
³ Soil Textur	res: Clay, Silty Clay, S	Sandy Clay, I	_oam, Sandy Clay	Loam, Sa	andy Loam	, Clay Loa	am, Silty Clay Loa	am, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Applicabl	e to all LRRs	s, unless otherwise	e noted.)			Indicators for	r Problematic Hydric Soils:
Histoso	bl (A1)		Sandy Redo	ox (S5)			1 cm Mu	ick (A9) (LRR C)
	-pipedon (A2) Histic (Δ3)			atrix (S6) sky Miners	al (E1)			ICK (A10) (LRR B)
Hydrog	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gle	yed Matrix	(F2)		Red Par	ent Material (TF2)
Stratifie	ed Layers (A5) (LRR C	;)	Depleted N	, latrix (F3)	、		Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
1 cm N	luck (A9) (LRR D)		Redox Darl	k Surface	(F6)			
	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted D	ark Surfac	ce (F7)			
	Dark Surface (A12) Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dep	ressions (F8)		⁴ Indicators of	bydrophytic vegetation and
Sandy	Gleved Matrix (S4)			15 (1-9)			wetland h	vdrology must be present.
Restrictive	Layer (if present):							
Type:								
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil P	resent? Yes 🔿 No 💿
Remarks:								
HIDROLO	JGT							
Wetland H	ydrology Indicators:						Second	ary Indicators (2 or more required)
Primary Ind	licators (any one indica	ator is suffici		(D44)			Wa	ter Marks (B1) (Riverine)
	e vvater (A1) /stor Table (A2)			(B11) ot (B12)				diment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
□ □ Ign W	tion (Δ 3)			SL (DIZ)	e (B13)			inage Patterns (B10)
Water	Marks (B1) (Nonriveri	ne)		Sulfide O	dor (C1)			-Season Water Table (C2)
Sedime	ent Deposits (B2) (Nor	nriverine)		Rhizosphe	eres along	Living Ro	ots (C3)	n Muck Surface (C7)
Drift De	eposits (B3) (Nonriver	ine)	Presence	of Reduce	ed Iron (C4	l)		yfish Burrows (C8)
Surface	e Soil Cracks (B6)		Recent Irc	on Reduct	ion in Plow	ved Soils (C6) 🗌 Sat	uration Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Inunda	tion Visible on Aerial I	magery (B7)	Other (Ex	plain in Re	emarks)		Sha	allow Aquitard (D3)
Water-	Stained Leaves (B9)							C-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Obse	ervations:							
Surface Wa	ater Present? Ye	es 🔿 🛛 No	Depth (in	iches):				
Water Table	e Present? Ye	es 🔿 🛛 No	Depth (in	iches):				

Saturation Present?	Yes 🔿	No 💽	Depth (inches):		
(includes capillary fringe)	\sim	\sim		Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes
Describe Recorded Data	(stream gauge,	monitoring	well, aerial photos, previous insp	pections), if available:	

Depth (inches):

Remarks:

Saturation Present?

С

 (\bullet) No

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: Bowerman RNG Project	City/County:Orange County	Sampling Date: 6/20/23		
Applicant/Owner: Bowerman RNG	Sta	ate:CA	Sampling F	Point:WL-1
Investigator(s): Berg	Section, Township, Range: T5 S	outh, R8 Wes	st	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope channel	Local relief (concave, convex, n	one):Concave		Slope (%):15
Subregion (LRR): <u>C</u> - Mediterranean California Lat:33.7	71603155682665 Long:-1	17.70943791	864217	Datum:WGS
Soil Map Unit Name: Calleguas clay loam, 50 to 75 percent slope	es, eroded	NWI classifie	cation:None	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes 💿 No 🔿 (If	no, explain in F	Remarks.)	
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significantly	disturbed? Are "Normal C	ircumstances"	present? Y	es 💿 🛛 No 🔿
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally pro	oblematic? (If needed, exp	plain any answe	ers in Remar	ks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing	sampling point location	s, transects	, importa	nt features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🕥 No 💿				
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No 💿	Is the Sampled Area			
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No	within a Wetland?	Yes 🔿	No 🦲)
Remarks:				

VEGETATION

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.Quercus agrifolia	40	Yes	Not Listed	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3.				Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
4.				Percent of Dominant Species
Total Cover Sapling/Shrub Stratum	r: 40 %			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0 % (A/B)
1.Malosma laurina	1	Yes	Not Listed	Prevalence Index worksheet:
2. Artemisia californica	1	Yes	Not Listed	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
3.				OBL species x 1 = 0
4.	·			FACW species $x 2 = 0$
5				FAC species $x = 0$
Total Cover	. 2 %			FACU species $x 4 = 0$
Herb Stratum	. 2 /0			UPL species $1/2$ x 5 = 710
¹ .Brachypodium disctachyon	90	Yes	Not Listed	Column Totals: 142 (A) 710 (B)
2. Bromus madritensis	10	No	UPL	
3.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 5.00$
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5.				Dominance Test is >50%
6.				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7				Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
8.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Total Cover	100%			
<u>.</u>				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
2				be present.
Total Cover	%			Hydrophytic
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum0 % Cover	of Biotic C	Crust	%	Vegetation Present? Yes No (•
Remarks:				

SOIL

Profile Des	cription: (Describe t	o the depth	needed to docur	nent the i	ndicator	or confiri	m the absence of i	indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redox	k Features	6				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture ³	Rema	rks
0-2								Leaf litter	
2-16	10YR 4/3	100		· ·			Sandy loam		
				· ·					·
				· ·					
				· ·					
¹ Type: C=0	Concentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=R	educed Matrix.	² Location	: PL=Pore	Lining, F	RC=Root Channel,	M=Matrix.	
³ Soil Textur	es: Clay, Silty Clay, S	andy Clay, L	oam, Sandy Clay	Loam, Sa	ndy Loam	, Clay Loa	am, Silty Clay Loan	n, Silt Loam, Silt, Loan	ny Sand, Sand.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Applicable	e to all LRRs	, unless otherwise	noted.)			Indicators for I	Problematic Hydric So	ils:
Histoso	ol (A1)		Sandy Redo	x (S5)			1 cm Muc	k (A9) (LRR C)	
Histic E	Epipedon (A2)		Stripped Ma	atrix (S6)			2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR B)	
Black H	Histic (A3)		Loamy Muc	ky Minera	l (F1)		Reduced '	Vertic (F18)	
Hydrog	jen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gley	ed Matrix	(F2)		Red Parer	nt Material (TF2)	
Stratifie	ed Layers (A5) (LRR C	:)	Depleted M	atrix (F3)			Other (Exp	plain in Remarks)	
	luck (A9) (LRR D)		Redox Dark	Surface ((F6)				
	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted Da	ark Surfac	e (F7)				
	Dark Surface (A12)		Redox Depi	ressions (F8)		4		
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)			s (F9)			Indicators of r	hydropnytic vegetation	and
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (54)						wetland hyd	arology must be prese	nt.
Restrictive	Layer (if present):								
Type:								_	_
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil Pre	esent? Yes 🔿	No 💿
Remarks: S	Soil has many loose	rocks and g	gravel fragments	up to 8	inches in	size.			
HYDROLO	DGY								
Wetland H	ydrology Indicators:						Secondar	ry Indicators (2 or mor	e required)
Primary Ind	licators (any one indica	ator is sufficie	ent)				Wate	er Marks (B1) (Riverin	e)
	e Water (A1)		Salt Crust	(B11)				ment Deposits (B2) (R	iverine)

Primary Indicators (any one indicator is sufficient)		Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
High Water Table (A2)	Biotic Crust (B12)	Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
Saturation (A3)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	ls (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes O No 💿	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes O No	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes No	Depth (inches):	/etland Hydrology Present? Yes 💿 No 🔿
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring	g well, aerial photos, previous inspection	ns), if available:
Remarks:		

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/Site: Bowerman RNG Project		_ City/County:Orange	County	Samp	Sampling Date: 6/20/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bowerman RNG			State:CA	Samp	ling Point:WL-2	
Investigator(s):Berg		Section, Township, F	Range: T5 South, R8	8 West		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillslope cl	nannel	Local relief (concave	e, convex, none):Cor	ncave	Slope (%):15
Subregion (LRR):C - Mediterranean Califor	.7165406246667	Long:-117.7095	48249	Datum: W	GS	
Soil Map Unit Name: Calleguas clay loam, 5	0 to 75 percent slop	bes, eroded	NWI c	lassification:	None	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site t	ypical for this time of y	/ear? Yes 💿 No	(If no, expla	in in Remarks	s.)	
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present?				? Yes 💽	No 🔿	
Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology	naturally p	oroblematic? (If	needed, explain any	answers in R	emarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach	site map showing	g sampling point	locations, trans	ects, impo	ortant feature	es, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No 🖲					
Hydric Soil Present? Yes	Is the Sampl	ed Area				
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes	within a Wet	land? Yes	5 () N	lo 🖲		
Remarks:						

VEGETATION

T O (1) (1) (1) (1)	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test	workshee	t:		
I ree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Domina	ant Specie	S		
1.Quercus agrifolia	15	Yes	Not Listed	That Are OBL, FA	CW, or FA	C:	0	(A)
2				Total Number of D	ominant			
3				Species Across Al	l Strata:		4	(B)
4				Percent of Domina	ant Species	3		
Total Cover	r: 75 %			That Are OBL, FA	CW, or FA	C: (0.0 %	(A/B)
1. Rhus integrifolia	5	Yes	Not Listed	Prevalence Index	workshe	et:		
2 Heteromeles arbutifolia	5	Ves	Not Listed	Total % Cove	r of:	Multi	ply by:	
3. Mimulus aurantiacus	1	$\frac{100}{N_0}$	FACU	OBL species		x 1 =	0	
	1			FACW species		x 2 =	0	
5		·	·	FAC species		x 3 =	0	
5 Total Cover	11 %			- FACU species	1	x 4 =	4	
Herb Stratum	. 11 70			UPL species	1	x 5 =	430	
¹ .Bromus madridtensis	1	Yes	UPL	Column Totals:	87	(A)	434	(B)
2								
3.				Prevalence I	ndex = B/	A =	4.99	
4.				- Hydrophytic Veg	etation Inc	dicators:		
5.				Dominance Te	est is >50%	6		
6.				Prevalence In	dex is ≤3.0) ¹		
7				Morphological	l Adaptatio	ns¹ (Provic n a senara	le supporti	ng
8.					ludrophytic	Voqotatia	n ¹ (Evolair	
Total Cover	1 %				iyulopriyuc	, vegetatio)
Woody Vine Stratum				1 matter terms of level				
1				be present.	ric soli and	a wettand r	iyarology i	nust
2				-				
Total Cover	: %			Hydrophytic				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum % Cover	of Biotic C	Crust	%	Present?	Yes 🔿	No	$ \mathbf{O} $	
Remarks: Ground cover is entirely leaf litter from or	aks.							

SOIL

Profile Des	scription: (Describe t	o the depth	needed to docur	nent the ind	dicator o	or confiri	n the absence of i	indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	k Features				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture ³	Remarks
0-3								Leaf litter
3-16	10YR 2/2	100					Loam	
								-
				·				
¹ Type: C=0	Concentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=F	Reduced Matrix.	² Location: I	PL=Pore	Lining, F	RC=Root Channel, I	M=Matrix.
³ Soil Textu	res: Clay, Silty Clay, S	andy Clay, I	Loam, Sandy Clay	Loam, Sand	dy Loam	, Clay Loa	am, Silty Clay Loan	n, Silt Loam, Silt, Loamy Sand, Sand.
Hydric Soil	Indicators: (Applicabl	e to all LRRs	s, unless otherwise	noted.)			Indicators for I	Problematic Hydric Soils:
Histos	ol (A1)		Sandy Redo	x (S5)			1 cm Muc	k (A9) (LRR C)
Histic I	Epipedon (A2)		Stripped Ma	atrix (S6)			2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR B)
Black I	Histic (A3)		Loamy Muc	ky Mineral (F1)		Reduced V	Vertic (F18)
Hydrog	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gley	ved Matrix (F	-2)		Red Parer	nt Material (TF2)
Stratifi	ed Layers (A5) (LRR C	;)	Depleted M	atrix (F3)			Other (Exp	plain in Remarks)
1 cm N	Auck (A9) (LRR D)		Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)			
Deplet	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depleted D	ark Surface	(F7)			
Thick I	Dark Surface (A12)	()		ressions (F8	3)			
	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal Pool	s (F9)			⁴ Indicators of h	vdrophytic vegetation and
Sandy	Gleyed Matrix (S4)			0 (1 0)			wetland hyd	drology must be present.
Restrictive	e Layer (if present):							
Туре:								
Depth (i	inches):						Hydric Soil Pre	esent? Yes No 💿
Remarks:								
HYDROL	OGY							
Wetland H	ydrology Indicators:						Secondar	ry Indicators (2 or more required)
Primary Inc	dicators (any one indica	ator is suffici	ent)				Wate	r Marks (B1) (Riverine)
Surfac	e Water (A1)		Salt Crust	(B11)			Sedir	ment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
High W	Vater Table (A2)		Biotic Crus	st (B12)				Deposits (B3) (Riverine)

Surface Water (A1)] Salt Crust (B11)		Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)		
High Water Table (A2)			Biotic Crust (B12)		X Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)		
Saturation (A3)			Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		X Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along	Living Roots (C3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		
Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4	1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			Recent Iron Reduction in Plow	ved Soils (C6)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			-		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present?	Yes 🔿 🛛 🛚	No 💿	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present?	Yes 🔿 🛛 🛚	No 💿	Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present?	Yes 🔿 🛛 N	No 💿	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hy	drology Present? Yes 💿 No 🦳		
Describe Recorded Data (st	tream dauge mo	nitorina	well aerial photos previous ins	pections) if availa			
Booonibo Hooonada Bala (ol	ilouin guugo, mo	intering		pooliono), il avait			
Damarka							
Remarks:							

APPENDIX B: PHOTOGRAPHS





Photograph 3	
Location: Feature 2	
Notes: Overview of Feature 2 and Sample Point WL-1.	

otograph 4	
tion: ure 3	
: Overview of	
eature 3.	
	and the second se
	and the second sec
	and the second s

Photograph 5	
Location: Feature 4	
Notes: Overview of Feature 4 and sample point WL-2.	

